

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY AUGUST 22, 2024

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY AUGUST 19, 2024

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JULY 3, 2024

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 20, 2024

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 5, 2024

AMENDED IN SENATE MAY 16, 2024

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 30, 2024

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 16, 2024

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 8, 2024

AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 20, 2024

SENATE BILL

No. 1047

**Introduced by Senator Wiener
(Coauthors: Senators Roth, Rubio, and Stern)**

February 7, 2024

An act to add Chapter 22.6 (commencing with Section 22602) to Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code, and to add Sections 11547.6 and 11547.6.1 to the Government Code, relating to artificial intelligence.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1047, as amended, Wiener. Safe and Secure Innovation for Frontier Artificial Intelligence Models Act.

Existing law requires the Secretary of Government Operations to develop a coordinated plan to, among other things, investigate the

feasibility of, and obstacles to, developing standards and technologies for state departments to determine digital content provenance. For the purpose of informing that coordinated plan, existing law requires the secretary to evaluate, among other things, the impact of the proliferation of deepfakes, defined to mean audio or visual content that has been generated or manipulated by artificial intelligence that would falsely appear to be authentic or truthful and that features depictions of people appearing to say or do things they did not say or do without their consent, on state government, California-based businesses, and residents of the state.

This bill would enact the Safe and Secure Innovation for Frontier Artificial Intelligence Models Act to, among other things, require that a developer, before beginning to initially train a covered model, as defined, comply with various requirements, including implementing the capability to promptly enact a full shutdown, as defined, and implement a written and separate safety and security protocol, as specified. The bill would require a developer to retain an unredacted copy of the safety and security protocol for as long as the covered model is made available for commercial, public, or foreseeably public use plus 5 years, including records and dates of any updates or revisions and would require a developer to grant to the Attorney General access to the unredacted safety and security protocol. The bill would prohibit a developer from using a covered model or covered model derivative for a purpose not exclusively related to the training or reasonable evaluation of the covered model or compliance with state or federal law or making a covered model or a covered model derivative available for commercial or public, or foreseeably public, use, if there is an unreasonable risk that the covered model or covered model derivative will cause or materially enable a critical harm, as defined. The bill would require a developer, beginning January 1, 2026, to annually retain a third-party auditor to perform an independent audit of compliance with those provisions, as prescribed. The bill would require the auditor to produce an audit report, as prescribed, and would require a developer to retain an unredacted copy of the audit report for as long as the covered model is made available for commercial, public, or foreseeably public use plus 5 years. The bill would require a developer to grant to the Attorney General access to the unredacted auditor's report upon request. The bill would exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act the safety and security protocol and the auditor's report described above.

This bill would require a developer of a covered model to submit to the Attorney General a statement of compliance with these provisions, as specified. The bill would also require a developer of a covered model to report each artificial intelligence safety incident affecting the covered model, or any covered model derivative controlled by the developer to the Attorney General, as prescribed.

This bill would require a person that operates a computing cluster, as defined, to implement written policies and procedures to do certain things when a customer utilizes compute resources that would be sufficient to train a covered model, including assess whether a prospective customer intends to utilize the computing cluster to train a covered model.

This bill would authorize the Attorney General to bring a civil action, as provided. The bill would also provide for whistleblower protections, including by prohibiting a developer of a covered model or a contractor or subcontractor of the developer from preventing an employee from disclosing information, or retaliating against an employee for disclosing information, to the Attorney General or Labor Commissioner if the employee has reasonable cause to believe the information indicates the developer is out of compliance with certain requirements or that the covered model poses an unreasonable risk of critical harm.

This bill would create the Board of Frontier Models within the Government Operations Agency, independent of the Department of Technology, and provide for the board's membership. The bill would require the Government Operations Agency to, on or before January 1, 2027, and annually thereafter, issue regulations to, among other things, update the definition of a "covered model," as provided, and would require the regulations to be approved by the board before taking effect.

This bill would establish in the Government Operations Agency a consortium required to develop a framework for the creation of a public cloud computing cluster to be known as "CalCompute" that advances the development and deployment of artificial intelligence that is safe, ethical, equitable, and sustainable by, among other things, fostering research and innovation that benefits the public, as prescribed. The bill would on or before January 1, 2026, require the Government Operations Agency to submit a report from the consortium to the Legislature with that framework. The bill would make those provisions operable only upon an appropriation in a budget act for its purposes.

Existing constitutional provisions require that a statute that limits the right of access to the meetings of public bodies or the writings of public

officials and agencies be adopted with findings demonstrating the interest protected by the limitation and the need for protecting that interest.

This bill would make legislative findings to that effect.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. This act shall be known, and may be cited, as the
2 Safe and Secure Innovation for Frontier Artificial Intelligence
3 Models Act.

4 SEC. 2. The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

5 (a) California is leading the world in artificial intelligence
6 innovation and research, through companies large and small, as
7 well as through our remarkable public and private universities.

8 (b) Artificial intelligence, including new advances in generative
9 artificial intelligence, has the potential to catalyze innovation and
10 the rapid development of a wide range of benefits for Californians
11 and the California economy, including advances in medicine,
12 wildfire forecasting and prevention, and climate science, and to
13 push the bounds of human creativity and capacity.

14 (c) If not properly subject to human controls, future development
15 in artificial intelligence may also have the potential to be used to
16 create novel threats to public safety and security, including by
17 enabling the creation and the proliferation of weapons of mass
18 destruction, such as biological, chemical, and nuclear weapons,
19 as well as weapons with cyber-offensive capabilities.

20 (d) The state government has an essential role to play in ensuring
21 that California recognizes the benefits of this technology while
22 avoiding the most severe risks, as well as to ensure that artificial
23 intelligence innovation and access to compute is accessible to
24 academic researchers and startups, in addition to large companies.

25 SEC. 3. Chapter 22.6 (commencing with Section 22602) is
26 added to Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code, to read:

27
28 CHAPTER 22.6. SAFE AND SECURE INNOVATION FOR FRONTIER
29 ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE MODELS

30
31 22602. As used in this chapter:

1 (a) “Advanced persistent threat” means an adversary with
2 sophisticated levels of expertise and significant resources that
3 allow it, through the use of multiple different attack vectors,
4 including, but not limited to, cyber, physical, and deception, to
5 generate opportunities to achieve its objectives that are typically
6 to establish and extend its presence within the information
7 technology infrastructure of organizations for purposes of
8 exfiltrating information or to undermine or impede critical aspects
9 of a mission, program, or organization or place itself in a position
10 to do so in the future.

11 (b) “Artificial intelligence” means an engineered or
12 machine-based system that varies in its level of autonomy and that
13 can, for explicit or implicit objectives, infer from the input it
14 receives how to generate outputs that can influence physical or
15 virtual environments.

16 (c) “Artificial intelligence safety incident” means an incident
17 that demonstrably increases the risk of a critical harm occurring
18 by means of any of the following:

19 (1) A covered model or covered model derivative autonomously
20 engaging in behavior other than at the request of a user.

21 (2) Theft, misappropriation, malicious use, inadvertent release,
22 unauthorized access, or escape of the model weights of a covered
23 model or covered model derivative.

24 (3) The critical failure of technical or administrative controls,
25 including controls limiting the ability to modify a covered model
26 or covered model derivative.

27 (4) Unauthorized use of a covered model or covered model
28 derivative to cause or materially enable critical harm.

29 (d) “Computing cluster” means a set of machines transitively
30 connected by data center networking of over 100 gigabits per
31 second that has a theoretical maximum computing capacity of at
32 least 10^{20} integer or floating-point operations per second and
33 can be used for training artificial intelligence.

34 (e) (1) “Covered model” means either of the following:

35 (A) Before January 1, 2027, “covered model” means either of
36 the following:

37 (i) An artificial intelligence model trained using a quantity of
38 computing power greater than 10^{26} integer or floating-point
39 operations, the cost of which exceeds one hundred million dollars
40 (\$100,000,000) when calculated using the average market prices

1 of cloud compute at the start of training as reasonably assessed by
2 the developer.

3 (ii) An artificial intelligence model created by fine-tuning a
4 covered model using a quantity of computing power equal to or
5 greater than three times 10^{25} integer or floating-point operations,
6 the cost of which, as reasonably assessed by the developer, exceeds
7 ten million dollars (\$10,000,000) if calculated using the average
8 market price of cloud compute at the start of fine-tuning.

9 (B) (i) Except as provided in clause (ii), on and after January
10 1, 2027, “covered model” means any of the following:

11 (I) An artificial intelligence model trained using a quantity of
12 computing power determined by the Government Operations
13 Agency pursuant to Section 11547.6 of the Government Code, the
14 cost of which exceeds one hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000)
15 when calculated using the average market price of cloud compute
16 at the start of training as reasonably assessed by the developer.

17 (II) An artificial intelligence model created by fine-tuning a
18 covered model using a quantity of computing power that exceeds
19 a threshold determined by the Government Operations Agency,
20 the cost of which, as reasonably assessed by the developer, exceeds
21 ten million dollars (\$10,000,000) if calculated using the average
22 market price of cloud compute at the start of fine-tuning.

23 (ii) If the Government Operations Agency does not adopt a
24 regulation governing subclauses (I) and (II) of clause (i) before
25 January 1, 2027, the definition of “covered model” in subparagraph
26 (A) shall be operative until the regulation is adopted.

27 (2) On and after January 1, 2026, the dollar amount in this
28 subdivision shall be adjusted annually for inflation to the nearest
29 one hundred dollars (\$100) based on the change in the annual
30 California Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers
31 published by the Department of Industrial Relations for the most
32 recent annual period ending on December 31 preceding the
33 adjustment.

34 (f) “Covered model derivative” means any of the following:

35 (1) An unmodified copy of a covered model.

36 (2) A copy of a covered model that has been subjected to
37 post-training modifications unrelated to fine-tuning.

38 (3) (A) (i) Before January 1, 2027, a copy of a covered model
39 that has been fine-tuned using a quantity of computing power not
40 exceeding three times 10^{25} integer or floating point operations,

1 the cost of which, as reasonably assessed by the developer, exceeds
2 ten million dollars (\$10,000,000) if calculated using the average
3 market price of cloud compute at the start of fine-tuning.

4 (ii) On and after January 1, 2027, a copy of a covered model
5 that has been fine-tuned using a quantity of computing power not
6 exceeding a threshold determined by the Government Operations
7 Agency, the cost of which, as reasonably assessed by the developer,
8 exceeds ten million dollars (\$10,000,000) if calculated using the
9 average market price of cloud compute at the start of fine-tuning.

10 (B) If the Government Operations Agency does not adopt a
11 regulation governing clause (ii) of subparagraph (A) by January
12 1, 2027, the quantity of computing power specified in clause (i)
13 of subparagraph (A) shall continue to apply until the regulation is
14 adopted.

15 (4) A copy of a covered model that has been combined with
16 other software.

17 (g) (1) “Critical harm” means any of the following harms caused
18 or materially enabled by a covered model or covered model
19 derivative:

20 (A) The creation or use of a chemical, biological, radiological,
21 or nuclear weapon in a manner that results in mass casualties.

22 (B) Mass casualties or at least five hundred million dollars
23 (\$500,000,000) of damage resulting from cyberattacks on critical
24 infrastructure by a model conducting, or providing precise
25 instructions for conducting, a cyberattack or series of cyberattacks
26 on critical infrastructure.

27 (C) Mass casualties or at least five hundred million dollars
28 (\$500,000,000) of damage resulting from an artificial intelligence
29 model engaging in conduct that does both of the following:

30 (i) Acts with limited human oversight, intervention, or
31 supervision.

32 (ii) Results in death, great bodily injury, property damage, or
33 property loss, and would, if committed by a human, constitute a
34 crime specified in the Penal Code that requires intent, recklessness,
35 or gross negligence, or the solicitation or aiding and abetting of
36 such a crime.

37 (D) Other grave harms to public safety and security that are of
38 comparable severity to the harms described in subparagraphs (A)
39 to (C), inclusive.

40 (2) “Critical harm” does not include any of the following:

1 (A) Harms caused or materially enabled by information that a
2 covered model or covered model derivative outputs if the
3 information is otherwise reasonably publicly accessible by an
4 ordinary person from sources other than a covered model or
5 covered model derivative.

6 (B) Harms caused or materially enabled by a covered model
7 combined with other software, including other models, if the
8 covered model did not materially contribute to the other software's
9 ability to cause or materially enable the harm.

10 (C) Harms that are not caused or materially enabled by the
11 developer's creation, storage, use, or release of a covered model
12 or covered model derivative.

13 (3) On and after January 1, 2026, the dollar amounts in this
14 subdivision shall be adjusted annually for inflation to the nearest
15 one hundred dollars (\$100) based on the change in the annual
16 California Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers
17 published by the Department of Industrial Relations for the most
18 recent annual period ending on December 31 preceding the
19 adjustment.

20 (h) "Critical infrastructure" means assets, systems, and networks,
21 whether physical or virtual, the incapacitation or destruction of
22 which would have a debilitating effect on physical security,
23 economic security, public health, or safety in the state.

24 (i) "Developer" means a person that performs the initial training
25 of a covered model either by training a model using a sufficient
26 quantity of computing power and cost, or by fine-tuning an existing
27 covered model or covered model derivative using a quantity of
28 computing power and cost greater than the amount specified in
29 subdivision (e).

30 (j) "Fine-tuning" means adjusting the model weights of a trained
31 covered model or covered model derivative by exposing it to
32 additional data.

33 (k) "Full shutdown" means the cessation of operation of all of
34 the following:

- 35 (1) The training of a covered model.
- 36 (2) A covered model controlled by a developer.
- 37 (3) All covered model derivatives controlled by a developer.

38 (l) "Model weight" means a numerical parameter in an artificial
39 intelligence model that is adjusted through training and that helps
40 determine how inputs are transformed into outputs.

1 (m) “Person” means an individual, proprietorship, firm,
2 partnership, joint venture, syndicate, business trust, company,
3 corporation, limited liability company, association, committee, or
4 any other nongovernmental organization or group of persons acting
5 in concert.

6 (n) “Post-training modification” means modifying the
7 capabilities of a covered model or covered model derivative by
8 any means, including, but not limited to, fine-tuning, providing
9 the model with access to tools or data, removing safeguards against
10 hazardous misuse or misbehavior of the model, or combining the
11 model with, or integrating it into, other software.

12 (o) “Safety and security protocol” means documented technical
13 and organizational protocols that meet both of the following
14 criteria:

15 (1) The protocols are used to manage the risks of developing
16 and operating covered models and covered model derivatives
17 across their life cycle, including risks posed by causing or enabling
18 or potentially causing or enabling the creation of covered model
19 derivatives.

20 (2) The protocols specify that compliance with the protocols is
21 required in order to train, operate, possess, and provide external
22 access to the developer’s covered model and covered model
23 derivatives.

24 22603. (a) Before beginning to initially train a covered model,
25 the developer shall do all of the following:

26 (1) Implement reasonable administrative, technical, and physical
27 cybersecurity protections to prevent unauthorized access to, misuse
28 of, or unsafe post-training modifications of, the covered model
29 and all covered model derivatives controlled by the developer that
30 are appropriate in light of the risks associated with the covered
31 model, including from advanced persistent threats or other
32 sophisticated actors.

33 (2) (A) Implement the capability to promptly enact a full
34 shutdown.

35 (B) When enacting a full shutdown, the developer shall take
36 into account, as appropriate, the risk that a shutdown of the covered
37 model, or particular covered model derivatives, could cause
38 disruptions to critical infrastructure.

39 (3) Implement a written and separate safety and security protocol
40 that does all of the following:

- 1 (A) Specifies protections and procedures that, if successfully
2 implemented, would successfully comply with the developer's
3 duty to take reasonable care to avoid producing a covered model
4 or covered model derivative that poses an unreasonable risk of
5 causing or materially enabling a critical harm.
- 6 (B) States compliance requirements in an objective manner and
7 with sufficient detail and specificity to allow the developer or a
8 third party to readily ascertain whether the requirements of the
9 safety and security protocol have been followed.
- 10 (C) Identifies a testing procedure, which takes safeguards into
11 account as appropriate, that takes reasonable care to evaluate if
12 both of the following are true:
- 13 (i) A covered model poses an unreasonable risk of causing or
14 enabling a critical harm.
- 15 (ii) Covered model derivatives pose an unreasonable risk of
16 causing or enabling a critical harm.
- 17 (D) Describes in detail how the testing procedure assesses the
18 risks associated with post-training modifications.
- 19 (E) Describes in detail how the testing procedure addresses the
20 possibility that a covered model or covered model derivative can
21 be used to make post-training modifications or create another
22 covered model in a manner that may cause or materially enable a
23 critical harm.
- 24 (F) Describes in detail how the developer will fulfill their
25 obligations under this chapter.
- 26 (G) Describes in detail how the developer intends to implement
27 the safeguards and requirements referenced in this section.
- 28 (H) Describes in detail the conditions under which a developer
29 would enact a full shutdown.
- 30 (I) Describes in detail the procedure by which the safety and
31 security protocol may be modified.
- 32 (4) Ensure that the safety and security protocol is implemented
33 as written, including by designating senior personnel to be
34 responsible for ensuring compliance by employees and contractors
35 working on a covered model, or any covered model derivatives
36 controlled by the developer, monitoring and reporting on
37 implementation.
- 38 (5) Retain an unredacted copy of the safety and security protocol
39 for as long as the covered model is made available for commercial,

1 public, or foreseeably public use plus five years, including records
2 and dates of any updates or revisions.

3 (6) Conduct an annual review of the safety and security protocol
4 to account for any changes to the capabilities of the covered model
5 and industry best practices and, if necessary, make modifications
6 to the policy.

7 (7) (A) (i) Conspicuously publish a copy of the redacted safety
8 and security protocol and transmit a copy of the redacted safety
9 and security protocol to the Attorney General.

10 (ii) A redaction in the safety and security protocol may be made
11 only if the redaction is reasonably necessary to protect any of the
12 following:

13 (I) Public safety.

14 (II) Trade secrets, as defined in Section 3426.1 of the Civil
15 Code.

16 (III) Confidential information pursuant to state and federal law.

17 (B) The developer shall grant to the Attorney General access
18 to the unredacted safety and security protocol upon request.

19 (C) A safety and security protocol disclosed to the Attorney
20 General pursuant to this paragraph is exempt from the California
21 Public Records Act (Division 10 (commencing with Section
22 7920.000) of Title 1 of the Government Code).

23 (D) If the safety and security protocol is materially modified,
24 conspicuously publish and transmit to the Attorney General an
25 updated redacted copy within 30 days of the modification.

26 (8) Take reasonable care to implement other appropriate
27 measures to prevent covered models and covered model derivatives
28 from posing unreasonable risks of causing or materially enabling
29 critical harms.

30 (b) Before using a covered model or covered model derivative
31 for a purpose not exclusively related to the training or reasonable
32 evaluation of the covered model or compliance with state or federal
33 law or before making a covered model or covered model derivative
34 available for commercial or public, or foreseeably public, use, the
35 developer of a covered model shall do all of the following:

36 (1) Assess whether the covered model is reasonably capable of
37 causing or materially enabling a critical harm.

38 (2) Record, as and when reasonably possible, and retain for as
39 long as the covered model is made available for commercial,
40 public, or foreseeably public use plus five years information on

1 the specific tests and test results used in the assessment pursuant
2 to paragraph (1) that provides sufficient detail for third parties to
3 replicate the testing procedure.

4 (3) Take reasonable care to implement appropriate safeguards
5 to prevent the covered model and covered model derivatives from
6 causing or materially enabling a critical harm.

7 (4) Take reasonable care to ensure, to the extent reasonably
8 possible, that the covered model's actions and the actions of
9 covered model derivatives, as well as critical harms resulting from
10 their actions, can be accurately and reliably attributed to them.

11 (c) A developer shall not use a covered model or covered model
12 derivative for a purpose not exclusively related to the training or
13 reasonable evaluation of the covered model or compliance with
14 state or federal law or make a covered model or a covered model
15 derivative available for commercial or public, or foreseeably public,
16 use, if there is an unreasonable risk that the covered model or
17 covered model derivative will cause or materially enable a critical
18 harm.

19 (d) A developer of a covered model shall annually reevaluate
20 the procedures, policies, protections, capabilities, and safeguards
21 implemented pursuant to this section.

22 (e) (1) Beginning January 1, 2026, a developer of a covered
23 model shall annually retain a third-party auditor that conducts
24 audits consistent with best practices for auditors to perform an
25 independent audit of compliance with the requirements of this
26 section.

27 (2) An auditor shall conduct audits consistent with regulations
28 issued by the Government Operations Agency pursuant to
29 subdivision (d) of Section 11547.6 of the Government Code.

30 (3) The auditor shall be granted access to unredacted materials
31 as necessary to comply with the auditor's obligations under this
32 subdivision.

33 (4) The auditor shall produce an audit report including all of
34 the following:

35 (A) A detailed assessment of the developer's steps to comply
36 with the requirements of this section.

37 (B) If applicable, any identified instances of noncompliance
38 with the requirements of this section, and any recommendations
39 for how the developer can improve its policies and processes for
40 ensuring compliance with the requirements of this section.

1 (C) A detailed assessment of the developer’s internal controls,
2 including its designation and empowerment of senior personnel
3 responsible for ensuring compliance by the developer, its
4 employees, and its contractors.

5 (D) The signature of the lead auditor certifying the results of
6 the auditor.

7 (5) The developer shall retain an unredacted copy of the audit
8 report for as long as the covered model is made available for
9 commercial, public, or foreseeably public use plus five years.

10 (6) (A) (i) The developer shall conspicuously publish a redacted
11 copy of the auditor’s report and transmit to the Attorney General
12 a copy of the redacted auditor’s report.

13 (ii) A redaction in the auditor’s report may be made only if the
14 redaction is reasonably necessary to protect any of the following:

15 (I) Public safety.

16 (II) Trade secrets, as defined in Section 3426.1 of the Civil
17 Code.

18 (III) Confidential information pursuant to state and federal law.

19 (B) The developer shall grant to the Attorney General access
20 to the unredacted auditor’s report upon request.

21 (C) An auditor’s report disclosed to the Attorney General
22 pursuant to this paragraph is exempt from the California Public
23 Records Act (Division 10 (commencing with Section 7920.000)
24 of Title 1 of the Government Code).

25 (7) An auditor shall not knowingly make a material
26 misrepresentation in the auditor’s report.

27 (f) (1) (A) A developer of a covered model shall annually
28 submit to the Attorney General a statement of compliance with
29 the requirements of this section signed by the chief technology
30 officer, or a more senior corporate officer, that meets the
31 requirements of paragraph (2).

32 (B) This paragraph applies if the covered model or any covered
33 model derivatives controlled by the developer remain in
34 commercial or public use or remain available for commercial or
35 public use.

36 (2) In a statement submitted pursuant to paragraph (1), a
37 developer shall specify or provide, at a minimum, all of the
38 following:

39 (A) An assessment of the nature and magnitude of critical harms
40 that the covered model or covered model derivatives may

1 reasonably cause or materially enable and the outcome of the
2 assessment required by paragraph (1) of subdivision (b).

3 (B) An assessment of the risk that compliance with the safety
4 and security protocol may be insufficient to prevent the covered
5 model or covered model derivatives from causing or materially
6 enabling critical harms.

7 (C) A description of the process used by the signing officer to
8 verify compliance with the requirements of this section, including
9 a description of the materials reviewed by the signing officer, a
10 description of testing or other evaluation performed to support the
11 statement and the contact information of any third parties relied
12 upon to validate compliance.

13 (g) A developer of a covered model shall report each artificial
14 intelligence safety incident affecting the covered model, or any
15 covered model derivatives controlled by the developer, to the
16 Attorney General within 72 hours of the developer learning of the
17 artificial intelligence safety incident or within 72 hours of the
18 developer learning facts sufficient to establish a reasonable belief
19 that an artificial intelligence safety incident has occurred.

20 (h) (1) A developer shall submit to the Attorney General a
21 statement described by subdivision (f) no more than 30 days after
22 using a covered model or covered model derivative for a purpose
23 not exclusively related to the training or reasonable evaluation of
24 the covered model or compliance with state or federal law or
25 making a covered model or covered model derivative available
26 for commercial or public, or foreseeably public, use for the first
27 time.

28 (2) This subdivision does not apply with respect to a covered
29 model derivative if the developer submitted a statement described
30 by subdivision (f) for the applicable covered model from which
31 the covered model derivative is derived.

32 (i) In fulfilling its obligations under this chapter, a developer
33 shall consider industry best practices and applicable guidance from
34 the U.S. Artificial Intelligence Safety Institute, National Institute
35 of Standards and Technology, the Government Operations Agency,
36 and other reputable standard-setting organizations.

37 (j) (1) This section shall not apply to products or services to
38 the extent that the requirements would strictly conflict with the
39 terms of a contract with a federal government entity and a
40 developer of a covered model.

1 (2) This section applies to the development, use, or commercial
2 or public release of a covered model or covered model derivative
3 for any use that is not the subject of a contract with a federal
4 government entity, even if that covered model or covered model
5 derivative has already been developed, trained, or used by a federal
6 government entity.

7 22604. (a) A person that operates a computing cluster shall
8 implement written policies and procedures to do all of the following
9 when a customer utilizes compute resources that would be
10 sufficient to train a covered model:

11 (1) Obtain the prospective customer’s basic identifying
12 information and business purpose for utilizing the computing
13 cluster, including all of the following:

14 (A) The identity of the prospective customer.

15 (B) The means and source of payment, including any associated
16 financial institution, credit card number, account number, customer
17 identifier, transaction identifiers, or virtual currency wallet or
18 wallet address identifier.

19 (C) The email address and telephonic contact information used
20 to verify the prospective customer’s identity.

21 (2) Assess whether the prospective customer intends to utilize
22 the computing cluster to train a covered model.

23 (3) If a customer repeatedly utilizes computer resources that
24 would be sufficient to train a covered model, validate the
25 information initially collected pursuant to paragraph (1) and
26 conduct the assessment required pursuant to paragraph (2) prior
27 to each utilization.

28 (4) Retain a customer’s Internet Protocol addresses used for
29 access or administration and the date and time of each access or
30 administrative action.

31 (5) Maintain for seven years and provide to the Attorney
32 General, upon request, appropriate records of actions taken under
33 this section, including policies and procedures put into effect.

34 (6) Implement the capability to promptly enact a full shutdown
35 of any resources being used to train or operate models under the
36 customer’s control.

37 (b) A person that operates a computing cluster shall consider
38 industry best practices and applicable guidance from the U.S.
39 Artificial Intelligence Safety Institute, National Institute of

1 Standards and Technology, and other reputable standard-setting
2 organizations.

3 (c) In complying with the requirements of this section, a person
4 that operates a computing cluster may impose reasonable
5 requirements on customers to prevent the collection or retention
6 of personal information that the person that operates a computing
7 cluster would not otherwise collect or retain, including a
8 requirement that a corporate customer submit corporate contact
9 information rather than information that would identify a specific
10 individual.

11 22606. (a) The Attorney General may bring a civil action for
12 a violation of this chapter and to recover all of the following:

13 (1) For a violation that causes death or bodily harm to another
14 human, harm to property, theft or misappropriation of property,
15 or that constitutes an imminent risk or threat to public safety that
16 occurs on or after January 1, 2026, a civil penalty in an amount
17 not exceeding 10 percent of the cost of the quantity of computing
18 power used to train the covered model to be calculated using
19 average market prices of cloud compute at the time of training for
20 a first violation and in an amount not exceeding 30 percent of that
21 value for any subsequent violation.

22 (2) For a violation of Section 22607 that would constitute a
23 violation of the Labor Code, a civil penalty specified in subdivision
24 (f) of Section 1102.5 of the Labor Code.

25 (3) For a person that operates a computing cluster for a violation
26 of Section 22604, for an auditor for a violation of paragraph (6)
27 of subdivision (e) of Section 22603, or for an auditor who
28 intentionally or with reckless disregard violates a provision of
29 subdivision (e) of Section 22603 other than paragraph (6) or
30 regulations issued by the Government Operations Agency pursuant
31 to Section 11547.6 of the Government Code, a civil penalty in an
32 amount not exceeding fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) for a first
33 violation of Section 22604, not exceeding one hundred thousand
34 dollars (\$100,000) for any subsequent violation, and not exceeding
35 ten million dollars (\$10,000,000) in the aggregate for related
36 violations.

37 (4) Injunctive or declaratory relief.

38 (5) (A) Monetary damages.

39 (B) Punitive damages pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section
40 3294 of the Civil Code.

1 (6) Attorney’s fees and costs.

2 (7) Any other relief that the court deems appropriate.

3 (b) In determining whether the developer exercised reasonable
4 care as required in Section 22603, all of the following
5 considerations are relevant but not conclusive:

6 (1) The quality of a developer’s safety and security protocol.

7 (2) The extent to which the developer faithfully implemented
8 and followed its safety and security protocol.

9 (3) Whether, in quality and implementation, the developer’s
10 safety and security protocol was inferior, comparable, or superior
11 to those of developers of comparably powerful models.

12 (4) The quality and rigor of the developer’s investigation,
13 documentation, evaluation, and management of risks of critical
14 harm posed by its model.

15 (c) (1) A provision within a contract or agreement that seeks
16 to waive, preclude, or burden the enforcement of a liability arising
17 from a violation of this chapter, or to shift that liability to any
18 person or entity in exchange for their use or access of, or right to
19 use or access, a developer’s products or services, including by
20 means of a contract of adhesion, is void as a matter of public
21 policy.

22 (2) A court shall disregard corporate formalities and impose
23 joint and several liability on affiliated entities for purposes of
24 effectuating the intent of this section to the maximum extent
25 allowed by law if the court concludes that both of the following
26 are true:

27 (A) The affiliated entities, in the development of the corporate
28 structure among the affiliated entities, took steps to purposely and
29 unreasonably limit or avoid liability.

30 (B) As the result of the steps described in subparagraph (A),
31 the corporate structure of the developer or affiliated entities would
32 frustrate recovery of penalties, damages, or injunctive relief under
33 this section.

34 (d) Penalties collected pursuant to this section by the Attorney
35 General shall be deposited into the Public Rights Law Enforcement
36 Special Fund established pursuant to Section 12530 of the
37 Government Code.

38 (e) This section does not limit the application of other laws.

39 22607. (a) A developer of a covered model or a contractor or
40 subcontractor of the developer shall not do any of the following:

- 1 (1) Prevent an employee from disclosing information to the
2 Attorney General or the Labor Commissioner, including through
3 terms and conditions of employment or seeking to enforce terms
4 and conditions of employment if the employee has reasonable
5 cause to believe the information indicates either of the following:
6 (A) The developer is out of compliance with the requirements
7 of Section 22603.
8 (B) An artificial intelligence model, including a model that is
9 not a covered model or a covered model derivative, poses an
10 unreasonable risk of causing or materially enabling critical harm,
11 even if the employer is not out of compliance with any law.
- 12 (2) Retaliate against an employee for disclosing information to
13 the Attorney General or the Labor Commissioner pursuant to
14 paragraph (1).
- 15 (3) Make false or materially misleading statements related to
16 its safety and security protocol in a manner that violates Part 2
17 (commencing with Section 16600) of Division 7 or any other
18 provision of state law.
- 19 (b) An employee harmed by a violation of this subdivision may
20 petition a court for appropriate temporary or preliminary injunctive
21 relief as provided in Sections 1102.61 and 1102.62 of the Labor
22 Code.
- 23 (c) (1) The Attorney General or Labor Commissioner may
24 publicly release or provide to the Governor any complaint, or a
25 summary of that complaint, pursuant to this section if the Attorney
26 General or the Labor Commissioner concludes that doing so will
27 serve the public interest.
- 28 (2) If the Attorney General or the Labor Commissioner publicly
29 releases a complaint, or a summary of a complaint, pursuant to
30 paragraph (1), the Attorney General or the Labor Commissioner
31 shall redact from the complaint any information that is confidential
32 or otherwise exempt from public disclosure pursuant to the
33 California Public Records Act (Division 10 (commencing with
34 Section 7920.000) of Title 1 of the Government Code) and any
35 information that the Attorney General or the Labor Commissioner
36 determines would likely pose an unreasonable risk to public safety
37 if it were disclosed to the public.
- 38 (d) A developer shall provide a clear notice to all employees
39 working on covered models and covered model derivatives of their
40 rights and responsibilities under this section, including the right

1 of employees of contractors and subcontractors to use the
2 developer's internal process for making protected disclosures
3 pursuant to subdivision (e). A developer is presumed to be in
4 compliance with the requirements of this subdivision if the
5 developer does either of the following:

6 (1) At all times post and display within all workplaces
7 maintained by the developer a notice to all employees of their
8 rights and responsibilities under this section, ensure that all new
9 employees receive equivalent notice, and ensure that employees
10 who work remotely periodically receive an equivalent notice.

11 (2) No less frequently than once every year, provides written
12 notice to all employees of their rights and responsibilities under
13 this chapter and ensures that the notice is received and
14 acknowledged by all of those employees.

15 (e) (1) (A) A developer shall provide a reasonable internal
16 process through which an employee may anonymously disclose
17 information to the developer if the employee believes in good faith
18 that the information indicates that the developer has violated any
19 provision of Section 22603 or any other law, or has made false or
20 materially misleading statements related to its safety and security
21 protocol, or failed to disclose known risks to employees, including,
22 at a minimum, a monthly update to the person who made the
23 disclosure regarding the status of the developer's investigation of
24 the disclosure and the actions taken by the developer in response
25 to the disclosure.

26 (B) The process required by this paragraph shall apply to
27 employees of the developer's contractors and subcontractors
28 working on covered models and covered model derivatives and
29 allow those employees to disclose the same information to the
30 developer that an employee of the developer may disclose and
31 provide the same anonymity and protections against retaliation to
32 the employees of the contractor or subcontractor that apply to
33 disclosures by employees of the developer.

34 (2) The disclosures and responses of the process required by
35 this subdivision shall be maintained for a minimum of seven years
36 from the date when the disclosure or response is created. Each
37 disclosure and response shall be shared with officers and directors
38 of the developer whose acts or omissions are not implicated by
39 the disclosure or response no less frequently than once per quarter.
40 In the case of a report or disclosure regarding alleged misconduct

1 by a contractor or subcontractor, the developer shall notify the
2 officers and directors of the contractor or subcontractor whose acts
3 or omissions are not implicated by the disclosure or response about
4 the status of their investigation no less frequently than once per
5 quarter.

6 (f) This section does not limit protections provided to employees
7 by Section 1102.5 of the Labor Code, Section 12964.5 of the
8 Government Code, or other law.

9 (g) As used in this section:

10 (1) “Employee” has the same meaning as defined in Section
11 1132.4 of the Labor Code and includes both of the following:

12 (A) Contractors or subcontractors and unpaid advisors involved
13 with assessing, managing, or addressing the risk of critical harm
14 from covered models and covered model derivatives.

15 (B) Corporate officers.

16 (2) “Contractor or subcontractor” has the same meaning as in
17 Section 1777.1 of the Labor Code.

18 22608. The duties and obligations imposed by this chapter are
19 cumulative with any other duties or obligations imposed under
20 other law and shall not be construed to relieve any party from any
21 duties or obligations imposed under other law and do not limit any
22 rights or remedies under existing law.

23 22609. This chapter does not apply to the extent that it is
24 preempted by federal law.

25 SEC. 4. Section 11547.6 is added to the Government Code, to
26 read:

27 11547.6. (a) As used in this section, “critical harm” has the
28 same meaning as defined in Section 22602 of the Business and
29 Professions Code.

30 (b) There is hereby established the Board of Frontier Models.
31 The board shall be housed in the Government Operations Agency
32 and shall be independent of the Department of Technology. The
33 Governor may appoint an executive officer of the board, subject
34 to Senate confirmation, who shall hold the office at the pleasure
35 of the Governor. The executive officer shall be the administrative
36 head of the board and shall exercise all duties and functions
37 necessary to ensure that the responsibilities of the board are
38 successfully discharged.

39 (c) (1) Commencing January 1, 2026, the Board of Frontier
40 Models shall be composed of nine members, as follows:

- 1 ~~(1)~~
- 2 (A) A member of the open-source community appointed by the
- 3 Governor and subject to Senate confirmation.
- 4 ~~(2)~~
- 5 (B) A member of the artificial intelligence industry appointed
- 6 by the Governor and subject to Senate confirmation.
- 7 ~~(3)~~
- 8 (C) An expert in chemical, biological, radiological, or nuclear
- 9 weapons appointed by the Governor and subject to Senate
- 10 confirmation.
- 11 ~~(4)~~
- 12 (D) An expert in artificial intelligence safety appointed by the
- 13 Governor and subject to Senate confirmation.
- 14 ~~(5)~~
- 15 (E) An expert in cybersecurity of critical infrastructure appointed
- 16 by the Governor and subject to Senate confirmation.
- 17 ~~(6)~~
- 18 (F) Two members *who are academics with expertise in artificial*
- 19 *intelligence* appointed by the Speaker of the Assembly.
- 20 ~~(7)~~
- 21 (G) Two members appointed by the Senate Rules Committee.
- 22 (2) *A member of the Board of Frontier Models shall meet all of*
- 23 *the following criteria:*
- 24 (A) *A member shall be free of direct and indirect external*
- 25 *influence and shall not seek or take instructions from another.*
- 26 (B) *A member shall not take an action or engage in an*
- 27 *occupation, whether gainful or not, that is incompatible with the*
- 28 *member's duties.*
- 29 (C) *A member shall not, either at the time of the member's*
- 30 *appointment or during the member's term, have a financial interest*
- 31 *in an entity that is subject to regulation by the board.*
- 32 (3) *A member of the board shall serve at the pleasure of the*
- 33 *member's appointing authority but shall serve for no longer than*
- 34 *eight consecutive years.*
- 35 (d) (1) On or before January 1, 2027, and annually thereafter,
- 36 the Government Operations Agency shall issue regulations to
- 37 update both of the following thresholds in the definition of a
- 38 “covered model” to ensure that it accurately reflects technological
- 39 developments, scientific literature, and widely accepted national
- 40 and international standards and applies to artificial intelligence

1 models that pose significant risk of causing or materially enabling
2 critical harms.

3 (2) The updated definition shall contain both of the following:

4 (A) The initial compute threshold that an artificial intelligence
5 model shall exceed to be considered a covered model.

6 (B) The fine-tuning compute threshold that an artificial
7 intelligence model shall meet to be considered a covered model.

8 (3) In developing regulations pursuant to this subdivision, the
9 Government Operations Agency shall take into account all of the
10 following:

11 (A) The quantity of computing power used to train covered
12 models that have been identified as being reasonably likely to
13 cause or materially enable a critical harm.

14 (B) Similar thresholds used in federal law, guidance, or
15 regulations for the management of artificial intelligence models
16 with reasonable risks of causing or enabling critical harms.

17 (C) Input from stakeholders, including academics, industry, the
18 open-source community, and government entities.

19 (e) (1) On or before January 1, 2027, and annually thereafter,
20 the Government Operations Agency shall issue regulations to
21 establish binding auditing requirements applicable to audits
22 conducted pursuant to subdivision (e) of Section 22603 of the
23 Business and Professions Code to ensure the integrity,
24 independence, efficiency, and effectiveness of the auditing process.
25 In developing regulations pursuant to this subdivision, the
26 Government Operations Agency shall take into account both of
27 the following:

28 (A) Relevant standards or requirements imposed under federal
29 or state law or through self-regulatory or standards-setting bodies.

30 (B) Input from stakeholders, including academics, industry, and
31 government entities, including from the open-source community.

32 (2) Any regulations issued pursuant to paragraph (1) shall, at a
33 minimum, be consistent with guidance issued by the U.S. Artificial
34 Intelligence Safety Institute and the National Institute of Standards
35 and Technology.

36 (f) (1) On or before January 1, 2027, and annually thereafter,
37 the Government Operations Agency shall issue guidance for
38 preventing unreasonable risks of covered models and covered
39 model derivatives causing or materially enabling critical harms,
40 including, but not limited to, more specific components of, or

1 requirements under, the duties required under Section 22603 of
2 the Business and Professions Code.

3 (2) Any guidance issued pursuant to paragraph (1) shall, at a
4 minimum, be consistent with guidance issued by the U.S. Artificial
5 Intelligence Safety Institute and the National Institute of Standards
6 and Technology.

7 (g) Regulations and guidance adopted pursuant to this section
8 shall be approved by the Board of Frontier Models before taking
9 effect.

10 SEC. 5. Section 11547.6.1 is added to the Government Code,
11 to read:

12 11547.6.1. (a) There is hereby established in the Government
13 Operations Agency a consortium that shall develop, pursuant to
14 this section, a framework for the creation of a public cloud
15 computing cluster to be known as “CalCompute.”

16 (b) The consortium shall develop a framework for creation of
17 CalCompute that advances the development and deployment of
18 artificial intelligence that is safe, ethical, equitable, and sustainable
19 by doing, at a minimum, both of the following:

20 (1) Fostering research and innovation that benefits the public.

21 (2) Enabling equitable innovation by expanding access to
22 computational resources.

23 (c) The consortium shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that
24 CalCompute is established within the University of California to
25 the extent possible.

26 (d) CalCompute shall include, but not be limited to, all of the
27 following:

28 (1) A fully owned and hosted cloud platform.

29 (2) Necessary human expertise to operate and maintain the
30 platform.

31 (3) Necessary human expertise to support, train, and facilitate
32 use of CalCompute.

33 (e) The consortium shall operate in accordance with all relevant
34 labor and workforce laws and standards.

35 (f) (1) On or before January 1, 2026, the Government
36 Operations Agency shall submit, pursuant to Section 9795, a report
37 from the consortium to the Legislature with the framework
38 developed pursuant to subdivision (b) for creation and operation
39 of CalCompute.

- 1 (2) The report required by this subdivision shall include all of
2 the following elements:
- 3 (A) A landscape analysis of California’s current public, private,
4 and nonprofit cloud computing platform infrastructure.
- 5 (B) An analysis of the cost to the state to build and maintain
6 CalCompute and recommendations on potential funding sources.
- 7 (C) Recommendations for the governance structure and ongoing
8 operation of CalCompute.
- 9 (D) Recommendations on the parameters for use of CalCompute,
10 including, but not limited to, a process for determining which users
11 and projects will be supported by CalCompute.
- 12 (E) An analysis of the state’s technology workforce and
13 recommendations for equitable pathways to strengthen the
14 workforce, including the role of CalCompute.
- 15 (F) A detailed description of any proposed partnerships,
16 contracts, or licensing agreements with nongovernmental entities,
17 including, but not limited to, technology-based companies, that
18 demonstrates compliance with the requirements of subdivisions
19 (c) and (d).
- 20 (G) Recommendations regarding how the creation and ongoing
21 management of CalCompute can prioritize the use of the current
22 public sector workforce.
- 23 (g) (1) The consortium shall, consistent with state constitutional
24 law, consist of 14 members selected from among all of the
25 following:
- 26 (A) Representatives of the University of California and other
27 public and private academic research institutions and national
28 laboratories.
- 29 (B) Representatives of impacted workforce labor organizations.
- 30 (C) Representatives of stakeholder groups with relevant
31 expertise and experience, including, but not limited to, ethicists,
32 consumer rights advocates, and other public interest advocates.
- 33 (D) Experts in technology and artificial intelligence to provide
34 technical assistance.
- 35 (E) Personnel from other relevant departments and agencies as
36 necessary.
- 37 (2) Eight members of the consortium shall be selected by the
38 Secretary of Government Operations, and the President Pro
39 Tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the Assembly shall each
40 select three members.

1 (h) If CalCompute is established within the University of
2 California pursuant to subdivision (c), the University of California
3 may receive private donations for the purposes of implementing
4 CalCompute.

5 (i) This section shall become operative only upon an
6 appropriation in a budget act for the purposes of this section.

7 SEC. 6. The provisions of this act are severable. If any
8 provision of this act or its application is held invalid, that invalidity
9 shall not affect other provisions or applications that can be given
10 effect without the invalid provision or application.

11 SEC. 7. This act shall be liberally construed to effectuate its
12 purposes.

13 SEC. 8. The Legislature finds and declares that Section 3 of
14 this act, which adds Chapter 22.6 (commencing with Section
15 22602) to Division 8 of the Business and Professions Code,
16 imposes a limitation on the public's right of access to the meetings
17 of public bodies or the writings of public officials and agencies
18 within the meaning of Section 3 of Article I of the California
19 Constitution. Pursuant to that constitutional provision, the
20 Legislature makes the following findings to demonstrate the interest
21 protected by this limitation and the need for protecting that interest:

22 Information in unredacted safety and security protocols and
23 auditor's reports may contain corporate proprietary information
24 or information about covered models and covered model
25 derivatives that could threaten public safety if disclosed to the
26 public.

O